WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1	`	WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:			
2	wh-J	A method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem			
3	26, 1	ords any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method			
4	c	comprising:			
5		allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a			
6	r	espective replacement word; and			
7		storing each problem word and respective replacement word to a first data			
8	s	tructure, wherein each problem word is associated with the respective replacement			
9	v	vord.			
10					
11	2	2. The method of claim 1, further comprising accessing the first data structure to			
12	i	dentify problem words in another document.			
13					
14	3	The method of claim 1, further comprising:			
15		prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited			
16	c	ontents;			
17		subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the			
18	c	contents of the document as post-edited contents; and			
19		comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the			
20	p	problem words and the replacement words.			
21					
22	4	The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise storing the pre-			
23	e	edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure.			
24					
25	5	The method of alarm 4, wherein the first data structure and the second data			
26	s	The method of claim 4, wherein the first data structure and the second data tructure are the same.			
27		•			
28	JYV2	5. The method of claim 1, further comprising assigning a priority value to each			

29 30

31

32

problem word.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 8. The method of claim 1, further comprising assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of 9. a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

10. A computer readable medium containing a software program which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform a method for providing userspecific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

allowing a usek to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word; and

storing the problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each problem word is associated with the respective replacement word.

- 11. The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document
- 12. The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising: prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the problem words and the replacement words.

13. The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the steps of recording comprise storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure.

	Expre	ess Mail	No. EL6354 35US
1		14.	The computer readable medium of claim 13, wherein the first data structure and
2		the sec	ond data structure are the same.
3	ر ا	7	
4	2/2	1(5.	The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising assigning a
5	V	priority	value to each problem word.
6			
7		16.	The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the priority value is
8			ined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the
9		user wi	th the respective replacement word.
10			
<u> </u>		17.	The computer readable medium of claim 10, assigning a formatting definition to
12 II II II II II II II II II II II II II		each pr	oblem word\for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
∰ 13			
<u>i</u> 14		18.	The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the formatting definition is
15		selecte	d from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any
16 17		combin	nation thereof.
17			
⊢		19.	A computer comprising a memory device, a processor configured to access the
□ 19		memor	y device and configure to execute a method for providing user-specific error
20		analysi	s to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that
21		are imp	properly used, the method comprising:
22			allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a
23		respect	ive replacement word; and
24			storing the problem words and replacement words to a first data structure,
25		wherein	n each problem word is associated with the respective replacement word.
26		•	
27		20.	The computer of claim 19, further comprising accessing the first data structure
28		to iden	tify problem words in another document
•			\

29 30

The computer of claim 19, further comprising: 21.

prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited 31 32 contents;

DOSSULAD. BOSINDO

1	subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the
2	contents of the document as post-edited contents; and
3	comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the
4	problem words and the replacement words.
5	
6	22. The computer of claim 21, wherein the steps of recording comprise storing the
7	pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure.
8	
9	23. The computer of claim 22, wherein the first data structure and the second data
10	structure are the same.
11 . 4	·
12	24. The computer of claim 19, further comprising assigning a priority value to each
13 7	problem word.
14	
15	25. The computer of claim 24, wherein the priority value is determined according to
16	a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective
17	replacement word.
18	
19	26. The computer of claim 19, assigning a formatting definition to each problem
20	word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
21	
22	27. The computer of claim 26, wherein the formatting definition is selected from
23	one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination
24	thereof.